## Uses of Lime at Low Cost in Controlling SO<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>(v)/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>(l) Emission

## William Ellison

Ellison Consultants, 4966 Tall Oaks Drive, Monrovia, MD 21770 E-mail: <a href="mailto:ellisoncon@aol.com">ellisoncon@aol.com</a>; Tel: (301) 865-5302; Fax: (301) 865-5591

Lewis B. Benson Carmeuse North America Group Research Center, 3600 Neville Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15225

E-mail: <a href="lew.benson@CarmeuseNA.com">lew.benson@CarmeuseNA.com</a>; Tel: (412) 777-0723, Fax: (412) 777-0727

## **Summary**

Due to complex cyclical influences, including boiler soot blowing, a resulting continuous variation in the  $SO_3$  concentration of the boiler gas is ominous and challenging in and of itself, particularly in high-sulfur service. Retrofitting of high-dust SCR can increase  $SO_3$  generation and exacerbate any pre-existing  $SO_3$  problem.  $SO_3$  is generated both in the furnace, i.e. homogeneous/gas-phase interaction of  $SO_2$  with atomic oxygen, and in the downstream, convective pass, i.e. temperature and tube-surface-area dependent, heterogeneous/catalytic oxidation of  $SO_2$  by molecular oxygen. Below  $600^{\circ}F$  (316°C),  $SO_3$  combines with  $H_2O(v)$  to form  $H_2SO_4(v)$ . Some of this gaseous acid condenses onto cold surfaces (T <280°F, i.e.  $138^{\circ}C$ ) and is also adsorbed onto gas-entrained fly ash. A simplistic, EPA-based, proportionality constant indicates that a nominal 0.7% of flue-gas  $SO_2$  becomes oxidized to  $SO_3$  by the boiler. But due to an array of factors, including the cyclically varying catalytic-oxidation influence of iron, i.e. iron oxide, (present in both the boiler tube surface material and in ash), a broad range of  $SO_3$  concentration may be experienced daily. This is because:

- The rate of oxidation of  $SO_2$  to  $SO_3$  by iron oxides peaks at  $1300^{\circ}F$ ,  $(704^{\circ}C)$ .
- Periodic, low-temperature, soot blowing with boiler gas at 1100 to 1600°F, i.e. 593 to 871°C, brings SO<sub>3</sub> formation to a peak by causing exposure of and enhancing the significant catalytic oxidation brought about by boiler tube metal.

Depending on the boiler type, slag tap or dry bottom boiler, coal composition and boiler operating conditions, the average  $SO_2$ -to- $SO_3$  conversion rate in the boiler can range as high as 1.5%. Significant, additional,  $SO_3$ -concentration increase is caused by high-dust SCR systems. Measured overall  $SO_3$ -conversion rates are 1.0 to 4.5%, depending mainly on catalyst type and operating temperature. In several power plants in Germany,  $H_2SO_4$  concentration of  $140 \text{mg/Nm}^3$  (32 ppm) is measured downstream of high-dust SCR. There are results from 3.3% sulfur, residual oil fired power stations wherein  $H_2SO_4$  concentrations of  $200 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$  (45 ppm) are measured upstream of high-dust SCR and  $330 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$  (74 ppm) downstream. To eliminate or minimize the sulfuric acid aerosol plume opacity, several reagents have been applied by injection on a trial basis but without great success. Only ammonia injection in the economizer has given adequate results, but acid aerosol is substituted by a visible ammonium salt plume since, to achieve a high  $SO_3$  removal efficiency, excess ammonia has to be injected.

Continuous variation in the degree of boiler tube fouling can, through influence on rate of catalyzed SO<sub>3</sub> generation, created significant cyclical episodes impacting the air preheater. By model study the complex catalytic influence on SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation of the iron oxide coated surface of the exposed superheater tube metal has been emphasized: operation with a clean superheater has been shown to result in 20 ppm flue-gas SO<sub>3</sub> concentration, and a heavily fouled superheater yielded 32 ppm, while with a moderately fouled superheater there was a maximum concentration: 70 ppm!! Moreover, even in the absence of a high-dust SCR facility some high-sulfur units have had a significant history of air preheater fouling associated with such high levels of boiler generation of SO<sub>3</sub>.

Hydrated lime injection techniques have been widely investigated in the field to achieve dry sorption of  $SO_3$ , this necessarily applied upstream of fly ash collection in the absence of an FGD system. Comparative field test results are reviewed and guidelines are presented in detail. Lime-using dry and semi-dry scrubbers are a routine means of controlling  $SO_3$ , removing it even more efficiently than  $SO_2$ , this without water saturating the gas. Wet scrubbers quench/cool and water-saturate the flue gas so rapidly that a large proportion of inlet  $SO_3$  and  $H_2SO_4(v)$ , nominally one half of the total amount, is hydrated to sub-micron sulfuric acid mist,  $H_2SO_4(l)$ , the balance being rapidly absorbed in the scrubbing medium.